

CITY OF MORENO VALLEY

INITIAL STUDY FOR ENTER PROJECT NAME



ENTER PROJECT NAME AND CASE NUMBER(S)

ENTER DATE OF PUBLICATION

Lead Agency
CITY OF MORENO VALLEY

14177 Frederick Street Moreno Valley, CA 92552

Prepared By ENTER PREPARER COMPANY NAME

Enter Preparer Name Enter Street Address Enter City, St Zip Phone number

TABLE OF CONTENTS

BACKGROUND INFORMATION AND PROJECT DESCRIPTION:
ENVIRONMENTAL FACTORS POTENTIALLY AFFECTED:
DETERMINATION (To be completed by the Lead Agency):
EVALUATION OF ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACTS:
ISSUES & SUPPORTING INFORMATION SOURCES:
I. AGRICULTURE AND FOREST RESOURCES III. AIR QUALITY VI. ENERGY VII. GEOLOGY AND SOILS VIII. GREENHOUSE GAS EMISSIONS IX. HAZARDS AND HAZARDOUS MATERIALS X. HYDROLOGY AND WATER QUALITY – Would the project: XI. LAND USE AND PLANNING XII. MINERAL RESOURCES – Would the project: XIII. NOISE XIV. POPULATION AND HOUSING XV. PUBLIC SERVICES XVI. RECREATION XVII. TRANSPORTATION XVIII. TRIBAL CULTURAL RESOURCES XIX. UTILITIES AND SERVICE SYSTEMS XX. WILDFIRE XXI. MANDATORY FINDINGS OF SIGNIFICANCE
FIGURES
A – Aerial Map B – Site Plan C – Preliminary Grading D – Preliminary Landscaping E – Elevations F – Site Photos

MITIGATION MONITORING AND REPORTING PROGRAM (Separate Document if applicable)

Project Name i City of Moreno Valley

APPENDICES (Separate Documents)

Lighting Study

Air Quality and Greenhouse Gas Impact Study

Biological Resources Assessment & MSHCP Consistency Analysis

Cultural, Archaeological and Paleontological Assessment Report or Study (<u>If this</u> document contains confidential information pursuant to Government Code Section 6254.10 then it should not be placed on the website or provided to the

public. It should be cited as a reference though.)

Preliminary Soils & Foundation Evaluations & Soils Infiltration Testing for WQMP-BMP Design

Noise Impact Study

Traffic Impact Analysis

Project Specific Water Quality Management

Phase 1 Environmental Site Assessment



INITIAL STUDY (IS) FOR ENTER PROJECT NAME

BACKGROUND INFORMATION AND PROJECT DESCRIPTION:

- 1. Project Case Number(s):
- 2. Project Title:
- 3. Public Comment Period:
- 4. **Lead Agency:** City of Moreno Valley

City Project Planner, Planning Department

14177 Frederick Street Moreno Valley, CA 92552

(951) XXX-XXXX

E-mail

5. **Documents Posted At:** Enter the web location

6. **Prepared By: Name, Title**

Company Address

Company Address

Phone E-mail

7. Project Sponsor:

Applicant/Developer Property Owner

Name, Title
Company Name
Company Address

Name, Title
Company Name
Company Address

Phone Phone Email Email

8. Project Location: Include location, USGS location and APN

9. **General Plan Designation: XXX**

General Plan description of the designation.

10. Specific Plan Name and Designation: Specific Plan Name if applicable

Enter description especially as it impacts the Project.

11. Existing Zoning: XXX

Zoning description from the Zoning Code.

End with discussion of GP and Zoning compatibility.

12. Surrounding Land Uses and Setting:

	Land Use	General Plan	Zoning
Project Site			
North			
South			
East			
West			

13. **Description of the Site and Project:**

Environmental Setting

Project Description

14. Have California Native American tribes traditionally and culturally affiliated with the project area requested consultation pursuant to Public Resources Code section 21080.3.1? If so, is there a plan for consultation that includes, for example, the determination of significance of impacts to tribal cultural resources, procedures regarding confidentiality, etc.?

Note: Conducting consultation early in the CEQA process allows tribal governments, lead agencies, and project proponents to discuss the level of environmental review, identify and address potential adverse impacts to tribal cultural resources, and reduce the potential for delay and conflict in the environmental review process. (See Public Resources Code section 21080.3.2.) Information may also be available from the California Native American Heritage Commission's Sacred Lands File per Public Resources Code section 5097.96 and the California Historical Resources Information System administered by the California Office of Historic Preservation. Please also note that Public Resources Code section 21082.3(c) contains provisions specific to confidentiality.

Enter description of Consultation in general terms (i.e., when it started, ended, the tribes consulted etc.).

- 15. Other public agencies whose approval is required (e.g., permits, financing approval, or participation agreement):
 - a. Enter agency
- 16. Other Technical Studies Referenced in this Initial Study (Provided as Appendices):
 - a. Lighting Study –
 - b. Air Quality and Greenhouse Gas Impact Study –
 - c. Health Risk assessment -
 - d. Biological –
 - e. Cultural/Archaeological/Paleontological -

- f. Energy Report -
- g. Soils or Geotechnical –
- h. Drainage or Hydrology -
- i. Noise Impact Study –
- j. Traffic Impact Analysis –
- k. Project Specific Water Quality Management -
- Phase 1 Environmental Site Assessment –

17. Acronyms:

ADA - American with Disabilities Act
ALUC - Airport Land Use Commission
ALUCP - Airport Land Use Compatibility Plan
AQMP - Air Quality Management Plan

CEQA - California Environmental Quality Act

CIWMD - California Integrated Waste Management District

CMP - Congestion Management Plan

DTSC - Department of Toxic Substance Control

DWR - Department of Water Resources
EIR - Environmental Impact Report
EMWD - Eastern Municipal Water District
EOP - Emergency Operations Plan

FEMA - Federal Emergency Management Agency
FMMP - Farmland Mapping and Monitoring Program

GIS - Geographic Information System

GHG - Greenhouse Gas GP - General Plan

HCM Highway Capacity Manual HOA - Home Owners' Association

IS - Initial Study

LHMP - Local Hazard Mitigation Plan

LOS - Level of Service

LST - Localized Significance Threshold

MARB - March Air Reserve Base

MARB/IPA- March Air Reserve Base/Inland Port Airport MSHCP - Multiple Species Habitat Conservation Plan

MVFP - Moreno Valley Fire Department
MVPD - Moreno Valley Police Department
MVUSD - Moreno Valley Unified School District

MWD - Metropolitan Water District

NCCP - Natural Communities Conservation Plan

NPDES - National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System

OEM - Office of Emergency Services

OPR - Office of Planning & Research, State
PEIR - Program Environmental Impact Report

PW - Public Works

RCEH - Riverside County Environmental Health

RCFCWCD - Riverside County Flood Control & Water Conservation District

RCP - Regional Comprehensive Plan

RCTC - Riverside County Transportation Commission RCWMD - Riverside County Waste Management District

RTA - Riverside Transit Agency

RTIP - Regional Transportation Improvement Plan

RTP - Regional Transportation Plan

SAWPA - Santa Ana Watershed Project Authority

SCAG - Southern California Association of Governments SCAQMD - South Coast Air Quality Management District

SCE - Southern California Edison

SCH - State Clearinghouse

SKRHCP - Stephens' Kangaroo Rat Habitat Conservation Plan

SWPPP - Storm Water Pollution Prevention Plan SWRCB - State Water Resources Control Board

USFWS - United States Fish and Wildlife USGS - United States Geologic Survey

VMT - Vehicle Miles Traveled

VVUSD - Valley Verde Unified School District WQMP - Water Quality Management Plan

WRCOG - Western Riverside Council of Government

ENVIRONMENTAL FACTORS POTENTIALLY AFFECTED:

involving at least one impact that is a "Potentially Significant Impact" as indicated by the checklist on the following pages. Agriculture & Aesthetics Air Quality Forestry Resources Biological Resources **Cultural Resources** Energy Hazards & Hazardous Greenhouse Gas Geology & Soils **Emissions** Materials Hydrology & П Land Use & Planning Mineral Resources Water Quality Noise Population & Housing **Public Services** Tribal Cultural Recreation Transportation Resources Utilities & Mandatory Findings of Wildfire Significance Service Systems **DETERMINATION** (To be completed by the Lead Agency): On the basis of this initial evaluation: I find that the proposed project COULD NOT have a significant effect on the environment, and a NEGATIVE DECLARATION will be prepared. I find that although the proposed project could have a significant effect on the environment, there will not be a significant effect in this case because revisions in the project have been made by or agreed to by the project proponent. A MITIGATED NEGATIVE DECLARATION will be prepared. I find that the proposed project MAY have a significant effect on the environment, and an ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT REPORT is required. I find that the proposed project MAY have a "potentially significant" or "potentially significant unless mitigated" impact on the environment, but at least one effect 1) has been adequately analyzed in an earlier document pursuant to applicable legal standards, and 2) has been addressed by mitigation measures based on the earlier analysis as described on attached sheets. An ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT REPORT is required, but it must analyze only the effects that remain to be addressed. I find that although the proposed project could have a significant effect on the environment, because all potentially significant effects (a) have been analyzed adequately in an earlier EIR or NEGATIVE DECLARATION pursuant to applicable standards, and (b) have been avoided or mitigated pursuant to that earlier EIR or NEGATIVE DECLARATION, including revisions or mitigation measures that are imposed upon the proposed project, nothing further is required. Signature Date City Project Planner City of Moreno Valley Printed Name For

The environmental factors checked below would be potentially affected by this project,

EVALUATION OF ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACTS:

- 1) A brief explanation is required for all answers except "No Impact" answers that are adequately supported by the information sources a Lead Agency cites in the parentheses following each question. A "No Impact" answer is adequately supported if the referenced information sources show that the impact simply does not apply to projects like the one involved (e.g. the project falls outside a fault rupture zone). A "No Impact" answer should be explained where it is based on project-specific factors as well as general standards (e.g. the project will not expose sensitive receptors to pollutants, based on a project-specific screening analysis).
- 2) All answers must take account of the whole action involved, including off-site as well as on-site, cumulative as well as project-level, indirect as well as direct, and construction as well as operational impacts.
- 3) Once the Lead Agency has determined that a particular physical impact may occur, then the checklist answers must indicate whether the impact is potentially significant, less than significant with mitigation, or less than significant. "Potentially Significant Impact" is appropriate if there is substantial evidence that an effect is significant. If there are one or more "Potentially Significant Impact" entries when the determination is made, an EIR is required.
- 4) Less Than Significant with Mitigation Incorporated" applies where the incorporation of mitigation measures has reduced an effect from "Potentially Significant Impact" to a "Less than Significant Impact." The Lead Agency must describe the mitigation measures, and briefly explain how they reduce the effect to a less than significant level (mitigation measures from Section XVII, "Earlier Analyses," may be crossreferenced).
- Earlier analyses may be used where, pursuant to the tiering, program EIR, or 5) another CEQA process, an effect has been adequately analyzed in an earlier EIR or negative declaration. Section 15063(c)(3)(D). In this case, a brief discussion should identify the following:
 - a) Earlier Analyses Used. Identify and state where they are available for review.
 - b) Impacts Adequately Addressed. Identify which effects from the above checklist were within the scope of and adequately analyzed in an earlier document pursuant to applicable legal standards, and state whether such effects were addressed by mitigation measures based on the earlier analysis.
 - c) Mitigation Measures. For effects that are "Less than Significant with Mitigation Measures Incorporated," describe the mitigation measures which were incorporated or refined from the earlier document and the extent to which they address site-specific conditions for the project.
- Lead agencies are encouraged to incorporate into the checklist references to 6) information sources for potential impacts (e.g. general plans, zoning ordinances). **Project Name** Page 6 City of Moreno Valley

Reference to a previously prepared or outside document should, where appropriate, include a reference to the page or pages where the statement is substantiated.

- 7) Supporting Information Sources. A source list should be attached, and other sources used, or individuals contacted should be cited in the discussion.
- 8) This is only a suggested form, and lead agencies are free to use different formats; however, lead agencies should normally address the questions from this checklist that are relevant to a project's environmental effects in whatever format is selected.
- 9) The explanation of each issue should identify:
 - a) the significance criteria or threshold, if any, used to evaluate each question; and
 - b) the mitigation measure identified, if any, to reduce the impact to less than significance.

	& SUPPORTING IATION SOURCES:	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant with Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
	HETICS – Except as provided in Pubortation Analysis for Transit-Oriented Infill		Code §2109		zation of
	substantial adverse effect on a scenic				
Response:					
includin outcrop state sc	ntially damage scenic resources, g, but not limited to, trees, rock pings, and historic buildings within a enic highway?				
Response:					
the exis views o views a publicly project i project o	urbanized areas, substantially degrade ting visual character or quality of public f the site and its surroundings? (Public re those that are experienced from accessible vantage point). If the is in an urbanized area, would the conflict with applicable zoning and other ons governing scenic quality?				
Response:					
which w	a new source of substantial light or glare rould adversely affect day or nighttime the area?				
Response:					
 Moreno Valley General Plan, adopted July 11, 2006 Chapter 2 – Community Development Element – Section 2.3 – Community Design Chapter 7 – Conservation Element – Section 7.8 – Scenic Resources Figure 7-2 – Major Scenic Resources Final Environmental Impact Report City of Moreno Valley General Plan, certified July 11, 2006 Section 5.11 – Aesthetics Figure 5.11-1 – Major Scenic Resources Title 9 – Planning and Zoning of the Moreno Valley Municipal Code Section 9.10.110 – Light and Glare of the Moreno Valley Municipal Code. Chapter 9.16 – Design Guidelines Section 9.17.030 G – Heritage Trees 					
agricultu Agricultu Conservi determing effects, and Fire Assessi method	CULTURE AND FOREST RESO ural resources are significant environment ural Land Evaluation and Site Assessment vation as an optional model to use in as ning whether impacts to forest resources, lead agencies may refer to information of Protection regarding the state's inventoment Project and the Forest Legacy Assology provided in Forest protocols adopte the project:	al effects, lead at Model (1997 sessing impacincluding timbeompiled by the same of forest lacessment projects and the same of	agencies ma) prepared by cts on agricul erland, are sig e California E nd, including ct; and forest	y refer to the of the California ture and farm gnificant environment of the Forest are carbon mea	California a Dept. of nland. In conmental f Forestry nd Range

Project Name Page 8 City of Moreno Valley

ISSUES & SUPPORTING INFORMATION SOURCES:	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant with Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
a) Convert Prime Farmland, Unique Farmland, or Farmland of Statewide Importance (Farmland), as shown on the maps prepared pursuant to the Farmland Mapping and Monitoring Program of the California Resources Agency, to non-agricultural use?				
Response:				
b) Conflict with existing zoning for agricultural use, or a Williamson Act contract? Response:				
c) Conflict with existing zoning for, or cause rezoning of, forest land (as defined in Public Resources Code section 12220(g)), timberland (as defined by Public Resources Code section 4526), or timberland zoned Timberland Production (as defined by Government Code section 51104(g))?				
d) Result in the loss of forest land or conversion of				
forest land to non-forest use?				
Response:				
e) Involve other changes in the existing environment which, due to their location or nature, could result in the conversion of Farmland, to non-agricultural use or conversion of forest land to non-forest use?				
Response:				
 Moreno Valley General Plan, adopted July 11, 2006 Chapter 7 – Conservation Element – Section 7.7 – Agricultural Resources Final Environmental Impact Report City of Moreno Valley General Plan, certified July 11, 2006 Section 5.8 – Agricultural Resources Figure 5.8-1 – Important Farmlands Title 9 – Planning and Zoning of the Moreno Valley Municipal Code 4. 				
III. AIR QUALITY – Where available, the significal management district or air pollution control district determinations. Would the project:		•		
 a) Conflict with or obstruct implementation of the applicable air quality plan? 				
Response:				
b) Result in a cumulatively considerable net increase of any criteria pollutant for which the project region is non-attainment under an applicable federal or state ambient air quality standard?				
Response:				

ISSUES & SUPPORTING INFORMATION SOURCES:	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant with Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
a) Evenes consitive recentors to substantial				
c) Expose sensitive receptors to substantial pollutant concentrations?				
Response:				1
d) Result in other emissions (such as those leading to odors adversely affecting a substantial number of people?				
Response:				
Sources:				
 Moreno Valley General Plan, adopted July 11 Chapter 5 – Circulation Element Chapter 6 – Safety Element – Section 6.6 Final Environmental Impact Report City of Mo Section 5.3 – Air Quality Figure 5.3-1 – South Coast Air Basin Appendix C – Air Quality Analysis, P&D C Title 9 – Planning and Zoning of the Moreno Notes of the Moreno Note	– Air Quality breno Valley G Consultants, Ju /alley Municip eno Valley Municip /alley Municip to Valley Muni	uly 2003 al Code nicipal Code al Code cipal Code	·	11, 2006
IV. BIOLOGICAL RESOURCES - Would the	project:			
a) Have a substantial adverse effect, either directly or through habitat modifications, on any species identified as a candidate, sensitive, or special status species in local or regional plans, policies, or regulations, or by the California Department of Fish and Game or U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service?				
Response:				
b) Have a substantial adverse effect on any riparian habitat or other sensitive natural community identified in local or regional plans, policies, regulations or by the California Department of Fish and Game or U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service?				
Response:				
c) Have a substantial adverse effect on state or federally protected wetlands (including, but not limited to, marsh, vernal pool, coastal, etc.) through direct removal, filling, hydrological interruption, or other means?				
Response:				
d) Interfere substantially with the movement of any native resident or migratory fish or wildlife species or with an established native resident or				

ISSUES & SUPPORTING INFORMATION SOURCES:	Potentially Significant Impact	Significant with Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
migratory wildlife corridors, or impede the use of native wildlife nursery sites?				
Response:				
e) Conflict with any local policies or ordinances protecting biological resources, such as a tree preservation policy or ordinance?				
Response:				
f) Conflict with the provisions of an adopted Habitat Conservation Plan, Natural Community Conservation Plan, or another approved local, regional, or state habitat conservation plan?				
Response:				
 Moreno Valley General Plan, adopted July 11, 2006 Chapter 7 – Conservation Element – Section 7.1 – Biological Resources Final Environmental Impact Report City of Moreno Valley General Plan, certified July 11, 2006 Section 5.9 – Biological Resources Figure 5.9-1 – Planning Area Biological Geographic Sections Figure 5.9-2 – Planning Area Vegetation Community Figure 5.9-3 – Project Site Location within the MSHCP Area Figure 5.9-4 – Reche Canyon/Badlands Area Plan Appendix E – Biological Resources Study, Appendix E Title 9 – Planning and Zoning of the Moreno Valley Municipal Code Section 9.17.030 G – Heritage Trees Moreno Valley Municipal Code Chapter 8.60 – Threatened and Endangered Species Western Riverside County Multiple Species Habitat Conservation Plan (MSHCP), http://www.wrc-rca.org/about-rca/multiple-species-habitat-conservation-plan/ Stephens' Kangaroo Rat Habitat Conservation Plan (SKRHCP), Governing Documents-governing-plan/ 				
 V. CULTURAL RESOURCES – Would the predator a) Cause a substantial adverse change in the 	oject:			
significance of a historical resource pursuant to §15064.5?				
Response:				
 b) Cause a substantial adverse change in the significance of an archaeological resource pursuant to <u>§15064.5</u>? 				
Response:				
c) Disturb any human remains, including those interred outside of formally dedicated cemeteries?				
Response:				

Less Than **ISSUES & SUPPORTING** Potentially Significant Less Than No Significant Significant with Impact **INFORMATION SOURCES:** Impact Mitigation Impact Incorporated Sources: 1. Moreno Valley General Plan, adopted July 11, 2006 Chapter 7 – Conservation Element – Section 7.2 – Cultural and Historical Resources 2. Final Environmental Impact Report City of Moreno Valley General Plan, certified July 11, 2006 Section 5.10 - Cultural Resources Figure 5.10-1 – Locations of Listed Historic Resource Inventory Structures Figure 5.10-2 – Location of Prehistoric Sites Figure 5.10-3 – Paleontological Resource Sensitive Areas Appendix F – Cultural Resources Analysis, Study of Historical and Archaeological Resources for the Revised General Plan, City of Moreno Valley, Archaeological Associates, August 3. Title 9 – Planning and Zoning of the Moreno Valley Municipal Code 4. Moreno Valley Municipal Code Title 7 – Cultural Preservation 5. Cultural Resources Inventory for the City of Moreno Valley, Riverside County, California, prepared by Daniel F. McCarthy. Archaeological Research Unit. University of California. Riverside, October 1987 (This document cannot be provided to the public due to the inclusion of confidential information pursuant to Government Code Section 6254.10.) VI. ENERGY – Would the project: a) Result in potentially significant environmental impact due to wasteful, inefficient, or unnecessary consumption of energy resources, during project construction or operation? Response: b) Conflict with or obstruct a state or local plan for renewable energy or energy efficiency? Response: Sources: 1. Moreno Valley General Plan, adopted July 11, 2006 Chapter 7 - Conservation Element - Section 7.6 - Energy Resources 2. Final Environmental Impact Report City of Moreno Valley General Plan, certified July 11, 2006 3. Title 9 - Planning and Zoning of the Moreno Valley Municipal Code VII. GEOLOGY AND SOILS – Would the project: a) Directly or indirectly cause potential substantial adverse effects, including the risk of loss, injury or death involving: i) Rupture of a known earthquake fault, as delineated on the most recent Alquist-Priolo Earthquake Fault Zoning Map issued by the State Geologist for the area or based on other substantial evidence of a known fault? Refer to https://www.conservation.ca.gov/cgs/Document s/SP 042.pdf Response:

ii) Strong seismic ground shaking?

ISSUES & SUPPORTING INFORMATION SOURCES:	Potentially Significant Impact	Significant with Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
Response:				
iii) Seismic-related ground failure, including liquefaction?				
Response:				
iv) Landslides?				
Response:				
b) Result in substantial soil erosion or the loss of topsoil?				
Response:				
c) Be located on a geologic unit or soil that is unstable, or that would become unstable as a result of the project, and potentially result in on- or off-site landslide, lateral spreading, subsidence, liquefaction or collapse?				
Response:				
d) Be located on expansive soil, as defined in Table 18-1-B of the Uniform Building Code (1994), creating substantial direct or indirect risks to life or property?				
Response:				
e) Have soils incapable of adequately supporting the use of septic tanks or alternative waste water disposal systems where sewers are not available for the disposal of waste water?				
Response:				
f) Directly or indirectly destroy a unique paleontological resource or site or unique geologic feature?				
Response:				
 Moreno Valley General Plan, adopted July 11, 2006 Chapter 6 – Safety Element – Section 6.5 – Geologic Hazards Figure 6-3 – Geologic Faults & Liquefaction Chapter 7 – Conservation Element – Section 7.4 Soils Final Environmental Impact Report City of Moreno Valley General Plan, certified July 11, 2006 Section 5.6 – Geology and Soils Figure 5.6-1 – Geology Figure 5.6-2 – Seismic Hazards Title 0 – Planning and Zoning of the Mazane Valley Municipal Code 				
3. Title 9 – Planning and Zoning of the Moreno V	/alley Municip	al Code		

Project Name Page 13 City of Moreno Valley

	SUES & SUPPORTING FORMATION SOURCES:	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant with Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
	 Moreno Valley Municipal Code Chapter 8.21-5. Local Hazard Mitigation Plan, City of Moreno amended 2017, http://www.moval.org/city_hal Chapter 4 – Earthquake Figure 4-1 – Right-Lateral Strike -Slip Figure 4-1.1 – Moreno Valley Geologi Figure 4-1.2 – Moreno Valley Area Gr Chapter 8 – Landslide Figure 8-1 – Moreno Valley Slope Ana Emergency Operations Plan, City http://www.moval.org/city_hall/departments/fir Threat Assessment 1 – Major Earthquake Figure 9 – Types of Faults Figure 10 – Earthquake Faults Figure 11 – Comparison of Richter Ma Figure 12 – Magnitude 4.5 or Greater Figure 13 – Geologic Faults and Lique 	Valley Fire De I/departments Fault c Faults and Lound Shaking alysis 2016 of More/pdfs/mv-eors agnitude and Nearthquake Mefaction	ulations partment, add /fire/pdfs/haz- iquefaction 2 Map eno Valle p-0309.pdf Modified Merclap	-mit-plan.pdf 016 y, March	2009,
VII	I. GREENHOUSE GAS EMISSIONS – Wo	uld the proje	ct:		
a)	Generate greenhouse gas emissions, either directly or indirectly, that may have a significant impact on the environment?				
Res	sponse:				
b) Re s	Conflict with an applicable plan, policy or regulation adopted for the purpose of reducing the emission of greenhouse gases? sponse:				
Soi	urces:				
	 Moreno Valley General Plan, adopted July 11 Final Environmental Impact Report City of Mo Title 9 – Planning and Zoning of the Moreno N California's 2017 Climate Change Scoping Pla November 2017, https://www.arb.ca.gov/cc/so-24, 2019 	reno Valley G /alley Municipa n, prepared by	al Code the California	a Air Resource	es Board,
IX.		ERIALS - W	ould the proj	ect:	
a)	Create a significant hazard to the public or the environment through the routine transport, use, or disposal of hazardous materials?				
Res	sponse:				
b)	Create a significant hazard to the public or the environment through reasonably foreseeable upset and accident conditions involving the release of hazardous materials into the environment?				
Res	sponse:				

Project Name Page 14 City of Moreno Valley

ISSUES & SUPPORTING INFORMATION SOURCES:	Potentially Significant Impact	Significant with Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
c) Emit hazardous emissions or handle hazardous or acutely hazardous materials, substances, or waste within one-quarter mile of an existing or proposed school?				
Response:				
d) Be located on a site which is included on a list of hazardous materials sites compiled pursuant to Government Code section 65962.5 and, as a result, would it create a significant hazard to the public or the environment?				
Response:				
e) For a project located within an airport land use plan or, where such a plan has not been adopted, within two miles of a public airport or public use airport, would the project result in a safety hazard or excessive noise for people residing or working in the project area?				
Response:				
f) Impair implementation of or physically interfere with an adopted emergency response plan or emergency evacuation plan?				
Response:				
g) Expose people or structures, either directly or indirectly, to a significant risk of loss, injury or death involving wildland fires?				
Response:				
 Moreno Valley General Plan, adopted July 11, 2006 Chapter 6 – Safety Element – Section 6.2.8 – Wildland Urban Interface Chapter 6 – Safety Element – Section 6.9 – Hazardous Materials Chapter 6 – Safety Element – Section 6.10 – Air Crash Hazards Figure 6-5 – Air Crash Hazards Final Environmental Impact Report City of Moreno Valley General Plan, certified July 11, 2006 Section 5.5 – Hazards and Hazardous Materials Figure 5.5-1 – Hazardous Materials Sites Figure 5.5-2 – Floodplains and High Fire Hazard Areas Figure 5.5-3 – City Areas Affected by Aircraft Hazard Zones Title 9 – Planning and Zoning of the Moreno Valley Municipal Code March Air Reserve Base (MARB)/March Inland Port (MIP) Airport Land Use Compatibility Plan (ALUCP) on November 13, 2014, (http://www.rcaluc.org/Portals/13/17%20-%20Vol.%201%20March%20Air%20Reserve%20Base%20Final.pdf?ver=2016-08-15-145812-700) 				
 5. Local Hazard Mitigation Plan, City of Moreno amended 2017, http://www.moval.org/city_ha • Chapter 5 – Wildland and Urban Fires 				r 4, 2011,

	SUES & SUPPORTING FORMATION SOURCES:	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant with Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
	 Figure 5-2 – Moreno Valley High Fire Chapter 12 – Dam Failure/Inundation Figure 12-2 Moreno Valley Evacuatio Chapter 13 – Pipeline Figure 13-1 – Moreno Valley Pipeline Chapter 14 – Transportation Figure 14-1.1 – Moreno Valley Air Cra Chapter 16 – Hazardous Materials Accided – Moreno Valley Hazardous Materials States Emergency Operations Plan, City http://www.moval.org/city_hall/departments/fine Hazard Mitigation and Hazard Analysis Threat Assessment 2 – Hazardous Mater Threat Assessment 3 – Wildfire Threat Assessment 6 – Transportation Err Figure 17 – Air Crash Hazards 	n Routes Map Map 2016 ash Hazard Arent Site Locations of Morre/pdfs/mv-eog	6 2015 ea Map 2016 Map 2016 eno Valle	y, March	2009,
X.	HYDROLOGY AND WATER QUALITY	– Would the	project:		
a)	Violate any water quality standards or waste discharge requirements or otherwise substantially degrade surface or ground water quality?				
Re	sponse:				
b)	Substantially decrease groundwater supplies or interfere substantially with groundwater recharge such that the project may impede sustainable groundwater management of the basin?				
Re	sponse:				
c)	Substantially alter the existing drainage pattern of the course of a stream or river or through the a would:				
i)	Result in substantial erosion or siltation on- or off-site?				
Re	sponse:				
ii)	Substantially increase the rate or amount of surface runoff in a manner which would result in flooding on- or offsite?				
Re	sponse:				
iii)	Create or contribute runoff water which would exceed the capacity of existing or planned stormwater drainage systems or provide substantial additional sources of polluted runoff?				
Re	sponse:				
iv)	Impede or redirect flood flows?				

Project Name Page 16 City of Moreno Valley

ISSUES & SUPPORTING INFORMATION SOURCES:	Potentially Significant Impact	Significant with Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
Response:				
d) In flood hazard, tsunami, or seiche zones, risk release of pollutants due to project inundation?				
Response:				
e) Conflict with or obstruct implementation of a water quality control plan or sustainable groundwater management plan?				
Response: 1. Moreno Valley General Plan, adopted July 11, 2006 • Chapter 6 – Safety Element – Section 6.7 – Water Quality - Figure 6-4 – Flood Hazards • Chapter 7 – Conservation Element – Section 7.5 – Water Resources - Figure 7-1 Water Purveyor Service Area Map 2. Final Environmental Impact Report City of Moreno Valley General Plan, certified July 11, 2006 • Section 5.5 – Hazards and Hazardous Materials - Figure 5.5-2 – Floodplains and High Fire Hazard Areas • Section 5.7 – Hydrology and Water Quality - Figure 5.7-1 – Storm Water Flows and Major Drainage Facilities - Figure 5.7-2 – Groundwater Basins 3. Title 9 – Planning and Zoning of the Moreno Valley Municipal Code • Section 9.10.080 – Liquid and Solid Waste 4. Moreno Valley Municipal Code Chapter 8.12 – Flood Damage Prevention 5. Moreno Valley Municipal Code Chapter 8.21 – Grading Regulations 6. Eastern Municipal Water District (EMWD) Groundwater Reliability Plus, http://gwrplus.org/ 7. Eastern Municipal Water District (EMWD) 2015 Urban Water Management Plan				
XI. LAND USE AND PLANNING – Would thea) Physically divide an established community?				
Response:				
b) Cause a significant environmental impact due to a conflict with any land use plan, policy, or regulation adopted for the purpose of avoiding or mitigating an environmental effect? Response:				
Sources:				
 Moreno Valley General Plan, adopted July 11 Chapter 2 – Community Development Ele Figure 2-1 – Neighboring Lands Uses Figure 2-2 – Land Use Map Chapter 8 – 2014 – 2021 Housing Element Final Environmental Impact Report City of Months 	ement – Sectic s nt			11, 2006

ISSUES & SUPPORTING INFORMATION SOURCES:	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant with Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
 Section 5.12 – Population and Housing S Attachments #1 - #10 – Housing S Exhibits A1 – A11, C, D, and E – N Title 9 – Planning and Zoning of the Moreins 	Sites Inventory Maps of Housing			
XII. MINERAL RESOURCES - Would the				
a) Result in the loss of availability of a know mineral resource that would be of value to th region and the residents of the state?				
Response:				
b) Result in the loss of availability of a locally important mineral resource recovery sit delineated on a local general plan, specific plan or other land use plan?	e			
Response:				
 Moreno Valley General Plan, adopted July 11, 2006 Chapter 7 – Conservation Element – Section 7.9 – Mineral Resources Final Environmental Impact Report City of Moreno Valley General Plan, certified July 11, 2006 Section 5.14 – Mineral Resources Title 9 – Planning and Zoning of the Moreno Valley Municipal Code Section 9.02.120 – Surface Mining Permits Moreno Valley Municipal Code Section 8.21.020 A 7 – Permits Required The Surface Mining and Reclamation Act of 1975 (SMARA, Public Resources Code, Sections 2710-2796), https://www.conservation.ca.gov/dmr/lawsandregulations 6. 				
XIII. NOISE – Would the project result in:				
a) Generation of a substantial temporary of permanent increase in ambient noise levels if the vicinity of the project in excess of standard established in the local general plan or noise ordinance, or applicable standards of other agencies?	n ls e			
Response:				
b) Generation of excessive groundborne vibratio or groundborne noise levels?	n			
Response:				
c) For a project located within the vicinity of private airstrip or an airport land use plan o where such a plan has not been adopted, within two miles of a public airport or public use airport would the project expose people residing of working in the project area to excessive nois levels?	r, n t,			
Response:				

Project Name Page 18 City of Moreno Valley

ISSUES & SUPPORTING INFORMATION SOURCES:	Potentially Significant Impact	Significant with Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
		·		
Sources:				
 Moreno Valley General Plan, adopted July 11 Chapter 6 – Safety Element – Section 6.4 Figure 6-2 – Buildout Noise Contours Final Environmental Impact Report City of Motors Section 5.4 – Noise Figure 5.4-1 – March Air Reserve Base Figure 5.4-2 – Buildout Noise Contour Figure 5.4-3 Buildout Noise Contour Figure 5.4-4 Buildout Noise Contour Appendix D – Noise Analysis, Wieland As Title 9 – Planning and Zoning of the Moreno Noise Section 9.10.140 Noise and Sound Moreno Valley Municipal Code Chapter 11.80 March Air Reserve Base (MARB)/March Inland (ALUCP) on November 13, 20 %20Vol.%201%20March%20Air%20Reserve 700) 6. 	s – Noise oreno Valley G se Noise Impars – Alternative ors – Alt	ct Area e 1 e 2 e 3 June 2003. al Code ations Airport Land	Use Compatik org/Portals/13	oility Plan 3/17%20-
6.				ļ
XIV. POPULATION AND HOUSING - Wou	ld the project			
a) Induce substantial unplanned population growth in an area, either directly (for example, by proposing new homes and businesses) or indirectly (for example, through extension of road or other infrastructure)? Response:				
b) Displace substantial numbers of existing people				
or housing, necessitating the construction of replacement housing elsewhere?				
Response:				
Sources:				
 Moreno Valley General Plan, adopted July 11, 2006 Chapter 2 – Community Development Element – Section 2.1 – Land Use Figure 2-1 – Neighboring Lands Uses Figure 2-2 – Land Use Map Chapter 8 – 2014 – 2021 Housing Element Final Environmental Impact Report City of Moreno Valley General Plan, certified July 11, 2006 Section 5.12 – Population and Housing Attachments #1 - #10 – Housing Sites Inventory Exhibits A1 – A11, C, D, and E – Maps of Housing Sites Title 9 – Planning and Zoning of the Moreno Valley Municipal Code 				
XV. PUBLIC SERVICES – Would the project:				
 Result in substantial adverse physical impacts a altered governmental facilities, need for new of construction of which could cause significant envir service ratios, response times or other performant 	or physically a conmental impa	ltered governacts, in order t	nmental facili o maintain ac	ties, the ceptable

Less Than

ISSUES & SUPPORTING INFORMATION SOURCES:	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant with Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
i) Fire protection?				
Response:				
ii) Police protection?				
Response:				
iii) Schools?				
Response:				
iv) Parks?				
Response:				
v) Other public facilities?				
Response:				
 Moreno Valley General Plan, adopted July 11, 2006 Chapter 2 – Community Development Element – Section 2.5 – Schools Figure 2-3 – School District Boundaries Chapter 2 – Community Development Element – Section 2.6 – Library Services Chapter 2 – Community Development Element – Section 2.7 – Special Districts Chapter 2 – Community Development Element – Section 2.5 – Other City Facilities Chapter 4 – Parks, Recreation and Open Space Element – Section 4.3 – Parks and Recreation 				
XVI. RECREATION – Would the project: a) Would the project increase the use of existing neighborhood and regional parks or other recreational facilities such that substantial physical deterioration of the facility would occur or be accelerated?				
Response:				
b) Does the project include recreational facilities or require the construction or expansion of				

ISSUES & SUPPORTING INFORMATION SOURCES:	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant with Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
recreational facilities which have an adverse physical effect on the environment?				
Response:				
Sources:				
 Moreno Valley General Plan, adopted July 11, 2006 Chapter 4 - Parks, Recreation and Open Space Element - Section 4.3 - Parks and Recreation Figure 4-1 Open Space Figure 4-2 - Future Parklands Acquisition Areas Figure 4-3 - Master Plan of Trails Final Environmental Impact Report City of Moreno Valley General Plan, certified July 11, 2006 Section 5.13 - Public Services Figure 5.13-1 - Location of Public Facilities Title 9 - Planning and Zoning of the Moreno Valley Municipal Code 				
XVII.TRANSPORTATION – Would the project:				
a) Conflict with program plan, ordinance or policy				
addressing the circulation system, including transit, roadway, bicycle and pedestrian facilities?				
Response:				
b) Conflict or be inconsistent with CEQA				
Guidelines section 15064.3, subdivision (b)?				
Response:				
c) Substantially increase hazards due to a geometric design feature (e.g., sharp curves or dangerous intersections) or incompatible uses (e.g., farm equipment)?				
Response:				
d) Result in inadequate emergency access?				
Response:				
Sources:				
 Moreno Valley General Plan, adopted July 11 Chapter 5 Circulation Element Figure 9-1 – Circulation Plan Figure 9-2 – LOS Standards Figure 9-3 – Roadway Cross-Sections Figure 9-4 – Bikeway Plan Final Environmental Impact Report City of Mo Section 5.2 – Traffic/Circulation 	S	eneral Plan, d	certified July 1	11, 2006

Project Name Page 21 City of Moreno Valley

_	SUES & SUPPORTING FORMATION SOURCES:	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant with Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
	 Figure 5.2-2 – General Plan Roadwa Figure 5.2-3 – Year 2000 Number of Figure 5.2-4 – Year 2000 Daily Volur Figure 5.2-5 – Year 2000 Average Daily Figure 5.2-6 – Proposed Circulation In Figure 5.2-7 – LOS Standards Appendix B – Traffic Analysis, City of National Crossroads, June 2004. Title 9 – Planning and Zoning of the Moreno Moreno Valley Municipal Code Chapter 3.18 Moreno Valley Master Bike Plan, adopted Ja Riverside County Transportation Commission 14, 2011 	Through Lanes ne/Capacity (V aily Traffic Volu Plan Moreno Valley Valley Municip Special Gas T nuary 2015	ns s /C) Ratios Imes General Plar al Code ax Street Imp	rovement Fur	nd
XV	III. TRIBAL CULTURAL RESOURCES -				
a) i)	Cause a substantial adverse change in the signific Resources Code Section 21074 as either a geographically defined in terms of the size and so cultural value to a California Native American trib Listed or eligible for listing in the California	site, feature, cope of the land	place, cultur	al landscape	that is
	Register of Historical Resources, or in a local register of historical resources as defined in				
Pos	Public Resources Code Section 5020.1(k), or sponse:				
Res	sponse.				
ii)	A resource determined by the lead agency, in its discretion and supported by substantial evidence, to be significant pursuant to criteria set forth in subdivision (c) of Public Resources Code section 5024.1 . In applying the criteria set forth in subdivision (c) of Public Resources Code section 5024.1 , the lead agency shall consider the significance of the resource to a California Native American tribe.				
Res	sponse:				
Soi	urces:				
	 Moreno Valley General Plan, adopted July 11, 2006 Chapter 7 – Conservation Element – Section 7.2 – Cultural and Historical Resources Final Environmental Impact Report City of Moreno Valley General Plan, certified July 11, 2006 Section 5.10 – Cultural Resources Figure 5.10-1 – Locations of Listed Historic Resource Inventory Structures Figure 5.10-2 – Location of Prehistoric Sites Figure 5.10-3 – Paleontological Resource Sensitive Areas Appendix F – Cultural Resources Analysis, Study of Historical and Archaeological Resources for the Revised General Plan, City of Moreno Valley, Archaeological Associates, August 2003. Title 9 – Planning and Zoning of the Moreno Valley Municipal Code Moreno Valley Municipal Code Title 7 - Cultural Presonnation 				
	 Moreno Valley Municipal Code Title 7 – Cultu Cultural Resources Inventory for the City prepared by Daniel F. McCarthy, Archaed 	of Moreno Va	alley, Riversio		

Less Than **ISSUES & SUPPORTING** Significant Potentially Less Than No Significant with Significant Impact **INFORMATION SOURCES:** Impact Mitigation Impact Incorporated Riverside, October 1987 (This document cannot be provided to the public due to the inclusion of confidential information pursuant to Government Code Section 6254.10.) 6. XIX. UTILITIES AND SERVICE SYSTEMS - Would the project: a) Require or result in the relocation or construction of new or expanded water, wastewater treatment or storm water drainage, natural electric power. gas, telecommunications facilities, the construction or relocation of which could cause significant environmental effects? Response: b) Have sufficient water supplies available to serve the project and reasonably foreseeable future development during normal, dry and multiple dry years? Response: c) Result in a determination by the wastewater treatment provider which serves or may serve the project that it has adequate capacity to serve the project's projected demand in addition to the provider's existing commitments? Response: d) Generate solid waste in excess of State or local standards, or in excess of the capacity of local infrastructure, or otherwise impair attainment of solid waste reduction goals? Response: e) Comply with federal, state, and local management and reduction statutes and regulations related to solid waste? Response: Sources: 1. Moreno Valley General Plan, adopted July 11, 2006 Chapter 2 - Conservation Element - Section 2.4 - Utilities Chapter 6 - Safety Element - Section 6.7 - Water Quality Chapter 7 - Conservation Element - Section 7.3 - Solid Waste Chapter 7 -- Conservation Element - Section 7.5—Water Resources Figure 7-1 – Water Purveyor Service Area Map 2. Final Environmental Impact Report City of Moreno Valley General Plan, certified July 11, 2006 Section 5.7 - Hydrology and Water Quality Figure 5.7-1 – Strom Water Flows and Major Drainage Facilities Figure 5.7-2 – Groundwater Basins

Section 5.13 – Public Services

Figure 5.13-1 – Locations of Public Facilities

ISSUES & SUPPORTING INFORMATION SOURCES:	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant with Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact		
 Title 9 – Planning and Zoning of the Moreno Moreno Valley Municipal Code Chapter 8 Discharge Controls Moreno Valley Municipal Code Section 8 System (NPDES). Moreno Valley Municipal Code Chapter 8.8 Demolition Waste 	3.10 Stormwate 21.170 Nation	er/Urban Rur al Pollutant	Discharge El	imination		
XX. WILDFIRE – If located in or near state response	onsibility areas	or lands class	sified as verv	high fire		
hazard severity zones, would the project:	orioibility aroad	or farido oldo	omou do vory	ingii iii o		
a) Substantially impair an adopted emergency						
response plan or emergency evacuation plan? Response:						
b) Due to slope, prevailing winds, and other factors, exacerbate wildfire risks, and thereby expose project occupants to, pollutant concentrations from a wildfire or the uncontrolled spread of a wildfire?						
Response:						
c) Require the installation or maintenance of associated infrastructure (such as roads, fuel breaks, emergency water sources, power lines or other utilities) that may exacerbate fire risk or that may result in temporary or ongoing impacts to the environment?						
Response:						
d) Expose people or structures to significant risks, including downslope or downstream flooding or landslides, as a result of runoff, post-fire slope instability, or drainage changes?						
Response:	'	1				
Sources:						
 Moreno Valley General Plan, adopted July 1 Chapter 6 – Safety Element – Section 6. Urban Interface Final Environmental Impact Report City of M 	2- Fire and Emoreno Valley G					
 Figure 5.5-2 – Floodplains and High Title 9 – Planning and Zoning of the Moreno Local Hazard Mitigation Plan, City of Moreno amended 2017, <a city_hall="" departments="" fire="" haz-mit-plan.pdf"="" href="http://www.moval.org/city_http://www.mo</td><td colspan=4> 4. Local Hazard Mitigation Plan, City of Moreno Valley Fire Department, adopted October 4, 2011, amended 2017, http://www.moval.org/city_hall/departments/fire/pdfs/haz-mit-plan.pdf Chapter 5 – Wildland and Urban Fires 						
 Figure 5-2 – Moreno Valley High Fire Area Map 2016 Chapter 8 – Landslide Figure 8-1 – Moreno Valley Slope Analysis 2016 Emergency Operations Plan, City of Moreno Valley, March 2009, 						

	SUES & SUPPORTING FORMATION SOURCES:	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant with Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact	
	 Threat Assessment 3 – Wildfire 6. 					
XX	(I. MANDATORY FINDINGS OF SIGNIFIC	CANCE				
a)	Does the project have the potential to substantially degrade the quality of the environment, substantially reduce the habitat of a fish or wildlife species, cause a fish or wildlife population to drop below self-sustaining levels, threaten to eliminate a plant or animal community, substantially reduce the number or restrict the range of a rare or endangered plant or animal or eliminate important examples of the major periods of California history or prehistory?					
Re	Response:					
b)	Does the project have impacts that are individually limited, but cumulatively considerable? ("Cumulatively considerable" means that the incremental effects of a project are considerable when viewed in connection with the effects of past projects, the effects of other current project, and the effects of probable future projects.)?					
Re	sponse:					
c)	Does the project have environmental effects which will cause substantial adverse effects on human beings, either directly or indirectly?					
Re	sponse:					